



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
P.O. Box 1450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

MAR 25 2005

Chi-Jung Wang  
7F., No. 213  
Civic Boulevard. Sec. 4  
Taipei,  
105  
Taiwan

**MAILED**

*MAR 25 2005*

*OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR  
TC 3600*

In re Application of  
Chi-Jung Wang  
Application No. 10/700,115  
Filed: October 31, 2003  
For: ANTI-THEFT COMBINATION LOCK  
FOR CAR

DECISION ON PETITION  
TO WITHDRAW THE  
HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT

This is a decision on applicant's petition to withdraw the holding of abandonment, filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), on October 27, 2004.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A review of the file record reveals that the application became abandoned for failure to respond to the Office action mailed to applicant on April 1, 2004. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on October 14, 2004.

Applicant's petition indicates that the Office action was never received. In support thereof applicant has provided a log of papers received. This is not considered to be a docket record.

There is a strong presumption that Office communications properly addressed and delivered to the United States Postal Services, are in fact delivered to the addressee. An allegation that the Office communication was not received must be overcome by a showing that it was not received.

The showing required to establish non-receipt of an Office communication must include all of the following requirements:

- (1) A statement from the practitioner stating the Office communication was not received by the practitioner;
- (2) A statement attesting to the fact that a search of the file jacket and docket records indicates that the Office communication was not received; and
- (3) A copy of the docket record where the non-received Office communication would have been entered had it been received and docketed must be attached to and referenced in practitioner's statement.

The docket records indicated above must include **a copy of the list of all responses in the practitioner's office with the due date at and around July 1, 2004**. See Notice entitled "Withdrawing the Holding of Abandonment When Office Actions Are Not Received," 1156 OG 53 (November 16, 1993).

The petitioner has failed to comply with requirement (3) indicated above. Applicant has only set forth that the Office action mailed April 1, 2004 was not received or placed in the file for application 10/700,115. A complete docket record with a copy of the list of all responses in the practitioner's office with the due date at and around July 1, 2004 is required.

Petitioner's evidence of non-receipt of the Office action mailed April 1, 2004 is insufficient to withdraw the holding of abandonment, and the petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.181."

Correspondence with respect to a Petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment under *Delgar Inc. v. Schuyler* should be mailed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



---

Kenneth J. Dorner  
Special Program Examiner  
Patent Technology Center 3600  
(703) 308-0866

KJD/jwk: 3/22/05